

CAPCO

a wipro company

THE CAPCO INSTITUTE
JOURNAL
OF FINANCIAL TRANSFORMATION

FINANCIAL

Finance in revolutionary times
PAUL DONOVAN

**CRISIS
MANAGEMENT**

#57 APRIL 2023

THE CAPCO INSTITUTE

JOURNAL OF FINANCIAL TRANSFORMATION

RECIPIENT OF THE APEX AWARD FOR PUBLICATION EXCELLENCE

Editor

Shahin Shojai, Global Head, Capco Institute

Advisory Board

Michael Ethelston, Partner, Capco

Farzine Fazel, Partner, Capco

Anne-Marie Rowland, Partner, Capco

Editorial Board

Franklin Allen, Professor of Finance and Economics and Executive Director of the Brevan Howard Centre, Imperial College London and Professor Emeritus of Finance and Economics, the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

Philippe d'Arvisenet, Advisor and former Group Chief Economist, BNP Paribas

Rudi Bogni, former Chief Executive Officer, UBS Private Banking

Bruno Bonati, Former Chairman of the Non-Executive Board, Zuger Kantonalbank, and President, Landis & Gyr Foundation

Dan Breznitz, Munk Chair of Innovation Studies, University of Toronto

Urs Birchler, Professor Emeritus of Banking, University of Zurich

Elena Carletti, Professor of Finance and Dean for Research, Bocconi University, Non-Executive Director, Unicredit Spa

Lara Cathcart, Associate Professor of Finance, Imperial College Business School

Géry Daeninck, former CEO, Robeco

Jean Dermine, Professor of Banking and Finance, INSEAD

Douglas W. Diamond, Merton H. Miller Distinguished Service Professor of Finance, University of Chicago

Eiroy Dimson, Emeritus Professor of Finance, London Business School

Nicholas Economides, Professor of Economics, New York University

Michael Enthoven, Chairman, NL Financial Investments

José Luis Escrivá, President, The Independent Authority for Fiscal Responsibility (AIReF), Spain

George Feiger, Pro-Vice-Chancellor and Executive Dean, Aston Business School

Gregorio de Felice, Head of Research and Chief Economist, Intesa Sanpaolo

Maribel Fernandez, Professor of Computer Science, King's College London

Allen Ferrell, Greenfield Professor of Securities Law, Harvard Law School

Peter Gomber, Full Professor, Chair of e-Finance, Goethe University Frankfurt

Wilfried Hauck, Managing Director, Statera Financial Management GmbH

Pierre Hillion, The de Picciotto Professor of Alternative Investments, INSEAD

Andrei A. Kirilenko, Reader in Finance, Cambridge Judge Business School, University of Cambridge

Katja Langenbacher, Professor of Banking and Corporate Law, House of Finance, Goethe University Frankfurt

Mitchel Lenson, Former Group Chief Information Officer, Deutsche Bank

David T. Llewellyn, Professor Emeritus of Money and Banking, Loughborough University

Eva Lomnicka, Professor of Law, Dickson Poon School of Law, King's College London

Donald A. Marchand, Professor Emeritus of Strategy and Information Management, IMD

Colin Mayer, Peter Moores Professor of Management Studies, Oxford University

Francesca Medda, Professor of Applied Economics and Finance, and Director of UCL Institute of Finance & Technology, University College London

Pierpaolo Montana, Group Chief Risk Officer, Mediobanca

John Taysom, Visiting Professor of Computer Science, UCL

D. Sykes Wilford, W. Frank Hipp Distinguished Chair in Business, The Citadel

CONTENTS

FINANCIAL

08 Managing the uncertainties of cybersecurity

Martijn Dekker, Visiting Professor of Information Security, University of Amsterdam, Global Chief Information Security Officer, ABN AMRO Bank N.V.

14 Finance in revolutionary times

Paul Donovan, Chief Economist, UBS Global Wealth Management

20 Fostering digital operational resilience in the financial sector in Europe (DORA compliance)

Alexandre Vandepuut, Principal Consultant, Capco

28 Do AI+VR surveillance technologies improve inclusion or make us boiling frogs?

Christine Chow, Head of Stewardship, HSBC Asset Management

Nicholas Dowell, Global Equity Portfolio Manager, HSBC Asset Management

36 Personal Identity Insurance: Coverage and pricing in the U.S.

Daniel W. Woods, Lecturer in Cyber Security, School of Informatics, University of Edinburgh

REGULATION

- 48 Sustainable finance regulation – authoritative governance or market-based governance for fund management?**
Iris H-Y Chiu, Professor of Corporate Law and Financial Regulation, University College London
- 62 The danger of linear thinking in regulatory oversight: Financial regulators must improve risk-detection systems amid digital transformation**
Jo Ann S. Barefoot, CEO, Alliance for Innovative Regulation
- 70 Understanding beneficial ownership disclosure**
Paul M. Gilmour, Lecturer in Criminal Justice and Policing, University of Portsmouth
- 78 Regulatory reporting – the road ahead**
Tej Patel, Partner, Capco
Mehak Nagpal, Principal Consultant, Capco
- 84 Did insurers become risk-loving during “low-for-long”? The role of returns, ratings, and regulation**
Jeroen Brinkhoff, Senior Economist, De Nederlandsche Bank, The Netherlands
Juan Solé, Principal Economist, European Stability Mechanism (ESM)
- 94 Open Finance in Europe: What is coming and why it matters**
Emanuel van Praag, Professor of Financial Technology and Law, Erasmus School of Law, Erasmus University Rotterdam, and attorney-at-law, Kennedy Van der Laan
Eugerta Muçi, PhD Candidate – Open Finance, Erasmus School of Law, Erasmus University Rotterdam

ESG

- 110 The fundamental problem with ESG? Conflicting letters**
Christos Cabolis, Chief Economist, IMD World Competitiveness Center
Maude Lavanchy, Research Fellow, IMD
Karl Schmedders, Professor of Finance, IMD
- 118 Transitioning to a low carbon economy – (re)insuring climate change and potential business risks and opportunities**
Jonathan Gale, Chief Underwriting Officer, Reinsurance, AXA XL
Andrew MacFarlane, Head of Climate, AXA XL
- 124 Prudential treatment of ESG risk**
Guillaume Campagne, Executive Director and Financial Risk Practice Lead, Capco
Lea Rizk, Consultant, Capco
- 130 ESG commitment, social impact, and a strong focus on climate: The Business Plan formula sets out Intesa Sanpaolo’s new strategy**
Elena Flor, Group Head of ESG and Sustainability, Intesa Sanpaolo
- 138 Is climate change another obstacle to economic development?**
Marion Amiot, Head of Climate Economics, S&P Global Ratings
Satyam Panday, Chief Emerging Market Economist, S&P Global Ratings



DEAR READER,

Recent events in the U.S. banking sector, and broader concerns around instability and contagion within the global financial services industry, have meant that crisis management is once more front of mind for many institutions.

In addition, the world of business and finance is facing broader geopolitical and socioeconomic challenges, ranging from conflict, climate change, inflationary pressures, and precarious energy resources. Factor in heightened regulatory and competitive pressures, and it becomes clear that financial institutions must prioritize risk management, within their own organizations and with their counterparties.

The papers in this edition of the Journal address the theme of crisis management through various lenses, including regulatory compliance and traditional risk management, as well ESG, the low carbon economy, and sustainable finance. Our authors also explore topics such as the impact of social change on the world of finance, the rise of artificial intelligence and virtual reality technologies, and cybersecurity.

Contributions in this edition come from a range of world-class experts across industry and academia, and showcase some of the very best expertise, independent thinking, and strategic insights within the financial services sector.

As ever, I hope that you find the latest edition of the Capco Journal to be engaging and informative. Thank you to all our contributors, and thank you for reading.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lance Levy', with a stylized, flowing script.

Lance Levy, Capco CEO

FINANCE IN REVOLUTIONARY TIMES

PAUL DONOVAN | Chief Economist, UBS Global Wealth Management

ABSTRACT

The structural changes of the fourth industrial revolution are considerable. In the financial services sector there is, inevitably, a lot of focus on the technological changes and their impact on financial markets. However, finance has a long history of adapting to technological innovation. Instead, it is consequences of social change that are likely to present the biggest challenge for finance over the next twenty years.

1. INTRODUCTION

The global economy is in the midst of a dramatic structural change, which the World Economic Forum has branded the “fourth industrial revolution”. Automation, robotics, digitalization, and communication are driving the upheaval. Indeed, a case can be made that the current wave of change represents the most significant economic and social restructuring in the two-and-a-half centuries that have passed since the first industrial revolution. These changes have dramatic implications for international finance.

The inclination in any period of upheaval is to focus on the technological changes. Technology is the shiny new toy that naturally captivates our attention. But technology is just the starting point for the waves of change that ripple out to wash over every aspect of our lives. To use one obvious example: the combination of internet and laptop has facilitated the flexible working practices that have become especially common since the pandemic. That has immediate and obvious consequences for real estate demand (and thus asset valuations), along with the direction and profitability of the construction sector. For the first time since the original industrial revolution, urban living has become a lifestyle choice rather than an economic necessity for many. That change in real estate use leads to changing patterns of demand for transport infrastructure, food distribution, and service industries. It breaks down the distinction between investment and consumer spending (who

is paying for the office desk today?). It arguably alters how members of a society interact with one another. It might even change dating patterns (the number of people finding partners in the workplace has fallen in recent years). This is about much, much more than the latest iteration of a smartphone or the fumbling steps towards artificial intelligence.

In the world of finance there is a similar tendency to focus on the technology as the disruptive influence. Financial market commentators are just as easily seduced by the luster of technology as anyone else – perhaps, considering the dotcom bubble, financial markets are even more at risk of being captivated by the novelty of technology. Certainly, financial technology does have the potential to change many aspects of finance, but finance is an industry that has constantly been disrupted by new technology. Double-entry bookkeeping, the telegraph, and the mainframe computers of the 1970s all revolutionized finance. Banks and other institutions are likely to adapt, just as they have done over the centuries. Just being animated (or scared) by the possibilities of blockchain or artificial intelligence is too narrow a perspective. Finance needs to consider the broader state of the world in which it operates. The challenges for finance from the wider social changes are certain to be more important than the nefarious world of crypto, or automated investment advice. These wider changes will disrupt employment, the global financial model, and raise questions about the allocation of financial capital.

2. EMPLOYMENT, PREJUDICE POLITICS, AND FINANCE

As with previous industrial revolutions, the economic and social changes that arise mean that the relative economic and social positions of individuals will alter. Some people will gain, often dramatically. At a time of innovation, the luck of having the right idea or optimal skills that are suddenly in demand can catapult an individual to higher levels of wealth and social status. At the same time, skills that previously conferred income and status can either be downgraded, or become obsolete. The legal clerk, increasingly automated into a low-status role, may well look with incomprehension and growing resentment at the rise of a social media influencer with a talent for livestreaming their computer gaming skills.

From an individual's perspective, this change in their position in the hierarchy can be hard to comprehend. Someone who is good at their job and diligent in applying themselves to work suddenly finds that their relative economic and social position has deteriorated rapidly. The causes of this relative social shift are complex, but people who are suffering rarely want to hear about complexity. As the world becomes more complex, people naturally crave simplicity. Simplicity is reassuring when the world is hard to comprehend. All too often, this is a situation that encourages scapegoat economics. The simplicity of blaming the personal loss on a minority group can be very appealing: "It is not my fault I lost my job, immigration took it away".

The world is already moving towards scapegoat economics, and the natural political response of prejudice politics ("Vote for me, we will build a wall and keep them out"). The so-called culture wars evident in several democracies are one example, where groups in society are demonized as being unnatural, unfair in their behavior, or in some other way worth "less than" the majority. To someone who has lost social and economic status as a result of the changing world, there is a perverse desire in seeing another group as being "beneath" you. In parts of the world, culture wars can produce deadly consequences.

Prejudice politics is a serious threat to democratic societies. It is also a disproportionate threat in the world of finance. The financial services sector depends, more than most parts of the economy, on what economists so clinically refer to as "human capital". From the relationships of wealth management to the ingenuity of financial engineering it is the quality of the people that matters. Finance requires having the right person, in the

“

The challenges for finance from the wider social changes are certain to be more important than the nefarious world of crypto, or automated investment advice. ”

right job, at the right time. In a world of prejudice politics, irrational barriers may prevent the right person from being in the right job. These barriers may be formal, with regulation or laws that otherwise create obstacles to movement. Restrictions on immigration are a challenge for a global, skills-based industry like the financial services sector. Just as worrying, these barriers may be the insidious obstacles created by unconscious bias in a society where prejudice is more pervasive and irrelevant personal characteristics take precedence over merit.

Modern finance requires a high degree of labor flexibility, both geographical and between different roles in the workplace. It is possible that geographical mobility becomes less important in the future as flexible working grows, but the regulation of the financial services sector rather argues against this. Regulators tend to want financial professionals where they can see them, even if there is no actual justification for locating someone in a specific geography. The European Central Bank's insistence that financial personnel move to Frankfurt in the wake of the E.U.-U.K. divorce is a telling example.

Moreover, the financial services sector is a part of the economy that very clearly benefits from diversity amongst its decision-makers. Research in the U.S. shows that workers in financial services firms are paid around 30 percent more than those in less diverse firms. This performance premium reflects the benefits of challenging opinions and examining opportunities and risks from multiple perspectives. A monoculture of thinking is a risk in any economic environment. In a period of structural change, a monoculture of thinking with a tendency to extrapolate from past experience is likely to be a blueprint for failure. The potential for failing to identify new opportunities is obvious. More concerning is that a lack of diversity will obscure serious risks for the financial services sector.

2.1 What prejudice politics means for finance

The financial services sector is not a passive player in the process of structural change. As society reshapes itself, the labor force on which the financial services sector depends will be reshaped. This matters more to finance than to other sectors, because finance is more dependent on the talent of its people. Technology is not going to lessen that dependence on human talent and diverse opinions – if anything it is going to increase it.

3. GLOBALIZATION, DEGLOBALIZATION, AND FINANCE

Finance is still very much a global industry. While the global financial crisis triggered a retreat from globalization into regionalization (or, indeed, bankruptcy) for some, many banks and asset managers continued to operate in a global sphere. Today's economic revolution offers a threat to this global financial model with an increase in economic and general nationalism. Global firms, in any sector, become targets for such nationalism. The tendency is to see this through the lens of government regulation – sanctions, tariffs, and similar measures. That is certainly a threat to the global financial model. But the threat extends beyond just political gestures.

Prejudice politics lends itself to economic nationalism. "It's all the fault of the foreigner" is an argument that has been a politician's excuse for economic problems for centuries. The 1436 bestseller "Libelle of Englyshe Polycye" firmly laid blame for all England's economic woes on the Italians. Economic nationalism can be wielded against all sorts of companies, of course, but the global nature of financial markets renders firms in this sector particularly vulnerable to barriers being imposed. Because the financial services sector is heavily regulated, regulatory barriers to operating globally are a particularly difficult problem. Regulations in different countries do not have to sympathize with each other, and while governments no doubt aim to achieve financial stability in their regulatory regimes it would be naïve to suppose that national interest is not also going to shape the rules that are in place.

These factors mean that an increase in economic nationalism is likely to have financial firms in scope, particularly in the application of non-tariff barriers to trade.

A further challenge from economic nationalism for the financial services sector is the specific field of financial research. Economic nationalism aligns to pure nationalism – and raises potential tensions for a global financial institution. Financial firms have a duty to provide their clients with



objective research. Inevitably that research will be critical of an economy from time to time. Such criticism may fall foul of economic or general nationalism. Certain governments do not like their policies being criticized. Instinctively, this resistance to criticism is attributed to authoritarian regimes, but it can also apply in democracies (where a government's political opponents may seize on research from the financial services sector to further their own agenda). The risk is that by providing independent research for the benefit of their clients, a firm may be subject to barriers to doing business in a country. This can range from exclusion from government deals, to the more serious persecution of a financial firm's employees within the country.

The choice for a financial firm cannot (or should not) be between independent research and research that follows a "party line". But the choice may have to be between independent research that may threaten the conduct of business in a specific country, and not publishing any research on that country. If financial services firms fall silent on parts of the global economy (at least from the perspective of their clients), it diminishes the benefits of being a global financial institution.

While it is easy to characterize nationalism in terms of the nation state, it is worth remembering that economic nationalism may also be practiced by customers of a financial institution. If the world fragments further into nationally motivated spheres of influence, it can become more difficult for financial firms to operate globally. The idea of global values or a global culture within a firm is important, especially in an industry that is so dependent on human capital. But this immediately throws up a challenge – the values of a firm may win the support of its employees and be consistent with the best arguments of economic efficiency, but still be viewed as a challenge to the nationalism of certain groups. For example, support for LGBTQ+ rights is likely to be in the interest of a financial services firm that wants its employees (including the 10 percent or so who will be LGBTQ+) to be as productive as possible and able to work wherever they can contribute most to the firm. At the same time, this stance will be attacked, or even illegal, in certain countries around the world. Indeed, this can extend to sub-nationalism, as the attacks on the values represented by sustainable investment by individual states in the U.S. have demonstrated.

It might be tempting to suggest that financial services firms should simply adopt a neutral stance in the face of such threats. This is not practical, however. Shareholders, employees, and clients increasingly require firms (particularly global firms) to have clearly elaborated principles. Neutrality is increasingly treated as opposition to one's own view, especially when run through the binary filter that social media so often represents.

3.1 What challenging globalization means for finance

Finance remains a global industry, at a time when global firms are being increasingly challenged. The acceptance of a higher regulatory requirement in finance renders the sector peculiarly vulnerable to deglobalization forces, as regulation is often used as a cover for economic nationalism. Like other global entities, financial services firms face the challenge of consistently applying basic human values to a complex global environment.

4. CAPITAL CONTROLS AND FINANCE

While deglobalization threatens financial services firms as global entities, challenging global capital flows threatens the instruments by which financial services firms make money. Perversely, this attack can work on both capital outflows and capital inflows.

Attacking capital outflows is nothing new. Hoarding capital in the domestic economy was a well-established trend of the mercantile era before the 20th century, and indeed has lingered on in several parts of the world into the 21st century. Economic nationalism naturally lends itself to this policy of hoarding. The idea is simple – money should be invested domestically, because that is what should be prioritized. "America First", a policy slogan with a history dating back over a century, is a recurring instance of the idea of hoarding capital. As an idea, it is far from unique to the U.S.

Attacks on capital outflow have extra resonance when they are tied to the movement of production, and thus employment. This circles back to the scapegoat economics arguments.

At the same time, economic nationalism can impose restrictions on capital inflows. Here, the focus is ownership of "our" assets (generally using a very imprecise idea of what "ownership" actually constitutes). The U.S. was very critical



of Japanese purchases of U.S. assets in the 1980s. Today there are wider global concerns about Chinese purchases of overseas assets. A range of reasons are offered – state support for the purchasing investor and national security are often cited. Infamously, the French government considered yoghurt to be a strategic national asset, to prevent foreign capital acquiring dairy firms.

Attacks on both capital outflows and capital inflows are most common in the government sphere. There can even be outright prohibitions on capital flows (most common under the national security excuse). There may also be capital controls, tax penalties, or, of course, disproportionate tax incentives to keep the money at home.

There is also a non-government role around capital flows. Media and public opinion can do damage to a company's brand reputation if the company's investment strategy is cast in a sufficiently negative light. Retail investors tend to have a "home country" bias in their personal investment strategies, and that can enhance the parochialism of popular opinion when it comes to capital flows.

Obviously, attacking the flow on which the financial services sector depends is something that adds a risk to financial firms. Contingency plans need to be in place to deal with the threat. Firms need to be agile enough to deal with sudden restrictions, and to be able to adapt their business to the risk of irrational limits on capital transactions. Investment firms, in particular, need to be prepared to explain and defend their international investment decisions to both a domestic and an international audience.

4.1 What capital restrictions mean for finance

Restrictions on global capital flows is a policy that comes in and out of fashion in financial markets. It certainly seems likely that the risk of restrictions will increase as economic nationalism takes hold. In these circumstances, financial services firms face increased uncertainty about where and how they can undertake business, and will have to adapt their strategies accordingly.

5. CONCLUSION: FINANCE IN REVOLUTIONARY TIMES

While the glamour of fintech is seductive, it is unlikely to be financial services sector technology that is the most disruptive aspect of the fourth industrial revolution. The financial services sector needs to consider the real-world changes and how the social and political response to those changes will shift the risks that surround finance.

Obstacles to employment are increasing between countries, and indeed within countries as groups in society are demonized. Global firms of any nature are subject to attack, and the financial services sector may face increased struggles in the face of economic nationalism. The capital flow that is the *raison d'être* of the financial services sector is also subject to specific attack, whichever way it is flowing.

How can financial firms respond to this? There are two obvious approaches. The first is to make the economic case for sensible policy responses. Financial services firms are particularly damaged by prejudice politics, and it is appropriate for them to take a stance. Explaining the negative economic

consequences of economic nationalism and increased uncertainty can help sway the arguments in favor of a more rational course of action. As the consequences of social policy bleed into economics, it may well become necessary for financial services firms to specifically opine on social issues.

It could be argued that in acting in this fashion, the financial services sector is tackling the symptoms of the problem, not the cause. This is true, but the symptoms are sufficiently corrosive as to warrant urgent treatment. More controversially, the financial services sector might consider attempts to tackle the root causes of the problem. This is not to stand like modern day Luddites in defiance of technological progress. Rather, the financial services sector can look at ways to mobilize capital to have a wider impact in society. There are economic arguments for investing beyond the mechanical output-based approach that is represented by gross domestic product and similar measures. Mobilizing and directing capital in a way that helps maximize the benefits and minimizes the costs of the fourth industrial revolution so as to generate the most beneficial impact for society may be the most important consequence for the financial services sector of these revolutionary times.

© 2023 The Capital Markets Company (UK) Limited. All rights reserved.

This document was produced for information purposes only and is for the exclusive use of the recipient.

This publication has been prepared for general guidance purposes, and is indicative and subject to change. It does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (whether express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication and The Capital Markets Company BVBA and its affiliated companies globally (collectively "Capco") does not, to the extent permissible by law, assume any liability or duty of care for any consequences of the acts or omissions of those relying on information contained in this publication, or for any decision taken based upon it.

ABOUT CAPCO

Capco, a Wipro company, is a global technology and management consultancy focused in the financial services industry. Capco operates at the intersection of business and technology by combining innovative thinking with unrivalled industry knowledge to fast-track digital initiatives for banking and payments, capital markets, wealth and asset management, insurance, and the energy sector. Capco's cutting-edge ingenuity is brought to life through its award-winning Be Yourself At Work culture and diverse talent.

To learn more, visit www.capco.com or follow us on Facebook, YouTube, LinkedIn and Instagram.

WORLDWIDE OFFICES

APAC

Bangalore
Bangkok
Dubai
Gurgaon
Hong Kong
Kuala Lumpur
Mumbai
Pune
Singapore

EUROPE

Berlin
Bratislava
Brussels
Dusseldorf
Edinburgh
Frankfurt
Geneva
London
Munich
Paris
Vienna
Warsaw
Zurich

NORTH AMERICA

Charlotte
Chicago
Dallas
Hartford
Houston
New York
Orlando
Toronto
Washington, DC

SOUTH AMERICA

São Paulo



WWW.CAPCO.COM



CAPCO
a wipro company